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Abstract

This paper assesses the historical accuracy in Terry Jones and Terry Gilliam's film *Monty Python the Holy Grail* that demonstrates how the satirical elements of the film are tied to morality and the Gothic tradition. It examines John Ruskin's Victorian criticism and the marginal decorations within Gothic manuscripts and sculpture. By identifying and displaying their symbolic power as symbols of morality, as adapted in the film, this analysis offers a fresh take on why killer rabbits are found within Gothic manuscripts.
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For the cult film *Monty Python and the Holy Grail*,
directors Terry Jones and Terry Gilliam drew directly
from historical sources for the film’s particular style
of satirical humour. The film depicts stories of King
Arthur, played by Graham Chapman, and his knights
as they perform heroic and amusing feats. More
specifically, Gilliam adapts the marginal images
found in Gothic manuscripts (1150-1350 AD) for the
interpolated scenes that frame the film. Gilliam
imitates specific images and their translations into the
film, which does not only import the marginalia but
also the symbolic values that are linked to the context
of the subject. The most vivid expression of this
symbolic value in action is the killer rabbit, a symbol
of vice caught in a battle of morality. The use of
Gothic marginalia suggests that *Monty Python and the
Holy Grail* is, in fact, a film about morality because the
sources that the creators drew from are intrinsically
linked to vice and virtue.

The highly influential Victorian author, John Ruskin,
outlined what he considered the Gothic tradition in
his work *The Stones of Venice*, in which he considered
Gothic art to be the expression of creativity and
“perpetual change both in design and execution.”¹ To
Ruskin, the Gothic style is the ultimate expression of
creativity because it is based on the individual’s
imagination and freedom.² Ruskin categorized an
extensive range of features fundamental to
understanding Gothic, but two particular elements
are present: savageness and changefulness. Savageness
is the rejection of perfection that is
necessary for gothic to exist.³ Changefulness
represents the freedom that the artists have to engage
in intellectual movement and deny monotony.⁴
Changefulness and savageness require that “there
is perpetual change both in design and execution.”⁵
Following this logic, the images that Gilliam adapts
from the margins of manuscripts are not mere
reproductions but an ever-changing rejection of
monotony. Animation itself is a continuous
reproduction of unique images that simulate
movement, and it is this essence that expands on what
Ruskin considered Gothic.

Ruskin’s terminology becomes apparent in Gilliam’s
animated sequences. Gilliam successfully adapts the
nature of Gothic because he does not merely imitate
and conform but perpetually changes the image.
Animation is, in essence, a series of ever-changing
images that create the illusion of motion. Gilliam does
not only adapt the work, but he also transforms it in
a way that adheres to the style of Gothic art. The
culture from which the images are drawn extends into
the film’s interpolated scenes, such as “The Tale of
Sir Galahad.” This interpolated scene is taken directly
from a book of hours, a common type of devotional
book intended for daily use, and then set into what
Martin Meuwese describes as absurd motion through
the act of animation.⁶ The use of an original
decoration that does not remain static represents an
evolution of the marginalia that gives the art life that
is true to the source material.

Similar Gothic images can be found in the margins of
manuscripts as early as the tenth century and begin to
increase in abundance into the twelfth. Marginal
decorations were a creative response that existed as a
genre of artistic expression. Art historian Dr. Michael
Camile uses the example of the creatures at the edges
of a map to describe how we understand marginalia
within the context of their creation. Marginalia on a
map exist at the edge of what is known, isolated from
whatever exists at the center of the page.⁷ To the
reader, these decorations may seem to be foreign
interpolator to the main subject of the individual
page where a reader may find them. The location of a
particular interpolator could be within a map or a
book of prayer, but they are, in a sense, an
interpretation or a response to the subject matter of
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the page. Further, the monsters at the edge of a map exist at the edge of what is known, representing the danger beyond what can be mapped.

This adaptation of marginalia may be further explained with rabbits as a focal point as symbols of cowardice. The idea of the killer rabbit is an expansion of the allegorical symbol for cowardice. Cowardice can be seen in a variety of forms but notably in the *Series of Vice and Virtue*, a façade, on the Notre Dame Cathedral. In this façade, a rabbit defeats a fleeing knight. The juxtaposition of vice and virtue on a cathedral is evidence of the Gothic imagination in which the rabbit is a clear symbol of the vice, cowardice. Numerous examples of marginalia inspired the film’s art. For example, in the liturgical book, the *Pontifical of Renaut de Bar*, an illumination depicts knights besieged by rabbits with one rabbit loading a Trebuchet. The Monty Python troupe modernizes marginalia and builds upon the Gothic tradition to develop the iconic killer rabbit. These decorative features come together and are extended and adapted to form a live-action scene where medieval humour is brought to life through the unconventional monster that devours some of Arthur’s knights. This seemingly ridiculous scene, however, is faithful to the medieval artistic tradition and is a serious symbol that embodies the lack of morality that Arthur’s knights express—they are cowards and therefore succumb to their foe. The killer rabbit is defeated using moral elements, the holy hand grenade of Antioch, provided by church figures, and a parody of religious scripture. This use of religious elements emphasizes a symbol of virtue. Rabbits are not only deadly enemies in marginalia but symbolic of cowardice, a trait associated with morality.

The film itself is driven by morality by using the opposition of vice and virtue in action within the killer rabbit scene. Religion and morality are a part of medieval life, and the process of adaptation carries these moral elements. The rabbits themselves are found on the pages of religious texts building an implicit connection between the moral and contemplative elements and the decorations since they are all found within the same space. The film’s overall message may not be to avoid vice, but the symbolic value of the rabbit suggests that these elements are operative regardless of the creator’s intent. The film acts as an extension of Ruskin’s interpretation of Gothic and brings the rabbits found in the corners of various religious texts to life.

The film’s faithful adaptation of the Gothic artistic tradition incorporates morality through the depictions of vice and virtue. Gilliam’s animations draw inspiration from medieval manuscripts, and not only faithfully adapt the gothic style but honour the symbolic values associated with the images. The killer rabbit is not just a rabbit but also a symbol that expresses cowardice and moral deficiency. Ultimately, *Monty Python and the Holy Grail* is a film about morality because Gilliam authentically adapts Gothic marginalia from within the cultural framework of medieval ethics. A scene that may seem silly or ridiculous is in a film may in fact give the reader insight into medieval morality.
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